(3)

CHECK

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 3077 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Brahaspati Gupta,
Son of Shri Sufal Gupta
Aged 57 years
Asstt. Teacher,
Kodpali,
Development Block Pusaur,
District Raigarh (C.G.)

R. No. 2936
Presented by Shri R.D. Agrawal
dated 23/09/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh through
Secretary, School Education Department,
Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director,
Public Education,
Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer,
Raigarh (C.G.)

4. Principal,
Govt. Higher Secondary School, Pusaur,
Raigarh (C.G.)

5. Jagdish Prasad Chauhan,
Asstt. Teacher, Primary School, Boirdih,
Block Development, Sarangarh,
District Raigarh (C.G.)

REGISTERED COPY
23/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

21/9/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(22)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 3077/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09/01/2004 Shri Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Praveen Das, learned P.L. for respondents no.1 to 4. Notice to respondent no.5 has been returned unserved. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India , challenging the order dated 27/08/2003 (Annexure A/1) passed by the respondent no.1 by which the petitioner has been transferred from Government Higher Secondary School at village Kondapali under Development Block Pusaur to Primary School, Bopirdih, Development Block, Sarangarh.. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the transfer of the petitioner is malafide and it is only to accommodate respondent no.5. He further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent no.1 to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner with a reasoned order. Till then, if the petitioner has not already been relieved, he may be allowed to continue on the same post. With the above observations and directions the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.2810/2003 and I.A. No.9113/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	